

---

**REPORT OF DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE**


---

**MEETING HELD ON 29 APRIL 2003**


---

Chair: \* Councillor Burchell

Councillors: \* Bluston \* Mrs Bath  
 \* Choudhury \* Kara  
 \* Dighé (4) \* Mrs Kinnear  
 \* Anne Whitehead \* Mrs Joyce Nickolay  
 \* Marilyn Ashton \* Thornton

\* Denotes Member present  
 (4) Denotes category of Reserve Member  
 † Denotes apologies received

[Note: Councillor John Nickolay. also attended this meeting in a participating role. See Minute 281].

**PART I - RECOMMENDATIONS - NIL**
**PART II - MINUTES**

280. **Attendance by Reserve Members:**  
**RESOLVED:** To note the attendance at this meeting of the following duly appointed Reserve Member:-
- | <u>Ordinary Member</u> | <u>Reserve Member</u> |
|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Councillor Harrison    | Councillor Dighé      |
281. **Right of Members to Speak:**  
**RESOLVED:** That, in accordance with Committee Procedure Rule 4.1, Councillor Nickolay who was not a Member of the Committee, be allowed to speak on Item 2/20 on the list of planning applications.
282. **Declarations of Interest:**  
**RESOLVED:** To note the following declarations of interests arising from the business to be transacted at this meeting:
- Application 2/06 – 14 Lowick Road, Harrow  
 Councillor Kara declared a prejudicial interest in the above application arising from the fact that he was related to the applicant.
  - Application 2/13 – Hatch End Tennis Club, 20 Clonard Way, Pinner  
 Councillors Marilyn Ashton and Kara declared a personal interest in the above application arising from the fact that a Member of the Conservative Group owned a property which backed onto the application site. They confirmed that they were not closely acquainted with the Member and had not discussed the application with her. Accordingly, both remained and took part in the voting and discussion on this item.
- Councillors Mrs Bath, Mrs Kinnear and Joyce Nickolay declared a prejudicial interest in the above application arising from their close acquaintance with the Member referred to above, and accordingly left the room and took no part in the discussion or voting on this item.
- Main Agenda Item 18 – East End Farm Barns Planning and Listed Building Appeals  
 Councillor Bluston declared a prejudicial interest in the above item arising from his acquaintance with the developer of the site.
283. **Arrangement of Agenda:**  
**RESOLVED:** That (1) in accordance with the provisions of the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985, the following item/information be admitted to the agenda by reason of the special circumstances and grounds for urgency stated:

<u>Agenda Item</u>	<u>Special Circumstances/Reasons for Urgency</u>
Addendum	This contained information relating to various items on the agenda and was based on information received after the agenda's dispatch. It was admitted to the agenda in order to enable Members to consider all information relevant to the items before them for decision.

and;

(2) all items be considered with the press and public present, with the exception of the following item, which be considered with the press and public excluded for the reason indicated:

<u>Item</u>	<u>Reason</u>
Item 18 – East End Farm Barns Planning and Listed Building Appeals	The report relating to this item contained exempt information under paragraph 12 (b) of Part 1 of schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972 (as amended) in that it referred to the opinion of Counsel and advice received in connection with the determination of a matter affecting the Authority.

284. **Minutes:**  
**RESOLVED:** That the Chair be given the authority to sign the minutes of the meeting held on 9 April 2003, those minutes having been circulated, as a correct record of that meeting, once printed in the Council Bound Volume.
285. **Public Questions:**  
**RESOLVED:** To note that there were no public questions to be received at this meeting under the provisions of Committee Procedure Rule 18 (Part 4B of the Constitution).
286. **Petitions:**  
**RESOLVED:** To note that there were no petitions to be received at this meeting.
287. **Deputations:**  
**RESOLVED:** To note that there were no deputations to be received at this meeting under the provisions of Committee Procedure Rule 16 (Part 4B of the Constitution).
288. **References from Council and other Committees/Panels:**  
**RESOLVED:** To note that there were no references from Council or other Committees or Panels to be received at this meeting.
289. **Representations on Planning Applications:**  
**RESOLVED:** That representations in accordance with the provisions of Committee Procedure Rule 17 be received in respect of items 2/13 and 5/01 on the list of planning applications.
290. **Planning Applications Received:**  
**RESOLVED:** That authority be given to the Chief Planning Officer to issue the decision notices in respect of the applications considered, as set out in the schedule attached to these minutes.
291. **Protocol for Members and Reserve Members when Dealing with Planning Applications and Lobbying:**  
The Committee received a joint report of the Borough Solicitor and the Chief Planning Officer regarding a protocol for Councillors in relation to dealing with planning applications and lobbying.

The Committee had previously discussed the draft protocol at a Special Meeting of the Committee, convened on 20 March 2003, and the concerns raised at this meeting had subsequently been considered by the Standards Committee at its meeting on 8 April 2003 and a number of amendments to the protocol had been made. The amended protocol was now placed before the Committee. A further proposed amendment was also outlined on the addendum for the meeting.

Following discussion it was

**RESOLVED:** That the Committee concur with the Protocol as now amended, subject to the following further amendment, outlined on the addendum:

Insert at Paragraph 2.7

‘Where an application is made in which the Cabinet or Executive have an interest, any member of the Development Control Committee who is also an Executive Member must carefully consider whether they have a personal or prejudicial interest to declare’.

[REASON: Members have requested clear guidelines to help them deal with planning applications and associated lobbying. The Local Government Association recommends that Councils have a local protocol for dealing with planning issues].

292. **Planning Appeals Update:**

The Committee received a report of the Chief Planning Officer which listed those appeals being dealt with and those awaiting decision.

**RESOLVED:** That the report be noted.

293. **Enforcement Notices Awaiting Compliance:**

The Committee received a report of the Chief Planning Officer which listed those enforcement notices awaiting compliance.

**RESOLVED:** That the report be noted.

294. **Telecommunications Development:**

**P/777/03/CDT – 250-252 Northolt Road, South Harrow**

Determination: Provision of 3 equipment cabinets, supporting grillage and safety hand railing on roof.

**RESOLVED:** That prior approval be not required, subject to the informatives reported.

295. **Determination of Demolition Applications:**

**RESOLVED:** To note that there were no demolition applications which required consideration.

296. **Member Site Visits to the Kings Head Hotel, Harrow on the Hill and Glasfryn, 2 Waldron Road, Harrow:**

See Minute 300 (1).

297. **East End Farm Barns Planning and Listed Building Appeals:**

The Committee considered a report of the Chief Planning Officer, and the advice of Counsel and a consultant appended thereto, regarding the forthcoming appeal in respect of the Committee’s decision to refuse planning applications WEST/668/02/LBC, WEST/666/02/FUL and WEST/667/02/CAC, which related to East End Farm Barns, under Part II of the agenda. Following discussion it was

**RESOLVED:** That (1) the Committee accept that, on current advice, the only viable use for the site is one which involves an element of residential use but that any residential use should be the minimum possible and located in the least sensitive part of the site; and

(2) it be agreed to amend reason for refusal (1) of WEST/666/02/FUL and reason for refusal (4) of WEST/668/02/LBC to read “The proposed change of use of the two principally listed barns, which will involve interventions of harm to their special character, has not been satisfactorily demonstrated to be the only viable use for the buildings, and is contrary to advice set out in PPG15, paras 3.7-3.19”.

(See also Minute 282).

298. **Confirmation of a Tree Preservation Order Subject to an Objection - TPO No. 662, Temple Mead Close (No. 3), Stanmore:**  
 The Committee received a report of the Chief Planning Officer which sought confirmation of a Tree Protection order which had been the subject of an objection. Details of the background to the situation and an outline of the objection were set-out and recommendations made accordingly.
- RESOLVED:** That the above Order be confirmed and the objector be advised accordingly.
- [REASON: To ensure the preservation of the tree].
299. **Issue Placed on the Agenda Further to a Request of the Committee - Nomination of a Member to Assist with the Harrow Hospital Appeal:**  
 A Member of the Committee requested that a member be nominated to assist officers with the appeal in respect of the refusal of applications relating to Harrow Hospital, Roxeth Hill. It was agreed that a Member would be nominated at the first meeting of the Committee of the new Municipal Year.
- RESOLVED:** that the above be noted.
300. **Any Other Business:**  
**RESOLVED:** that the issues raised below be noted and the action outlined be agreed:
- (1) **Member Site Visits to Hatch End Tennis Club, 2 Ingleby Drive and Glasfryn, 2 Waldron Road**  
 Following discussion it was agreed that Member site visits to the above properties would take place on Tuesday 13 May 2003 and that Members would meet at the Civic Centre at 6.00pm. It was noted that application WEST/694/02/LBC in respect of the Kings Head Hotel had now been determined and a site visit to this property was therefore no longer required.
  - (2) **Member Site Visit to the Royal National Orthopaedic Hospital, Stanmore**  
 It was noted that any Member unable to attend the previously arranged site visit to the Royal National Orthopaedic Hospital, scheduled to take place on 22<sup>nd</sup> May, should contact the Chief Planning Officer to discuss the possibility of arranging an additional site visit.
  - (3) **Harrow Hospital Appeal**  
 Further to concern expressed by a Member, it was agreed that officers would liaise with the planning inspectorate to request that they consider accepting objections by members of the public received after the deadline.
  - (4) **94 Merlin Crescent , Edgware – Enforcement Action**  
 It was agreed that officers would contact Councillor Burchell direct to clarify the status of the enforcement action relating to the above property.
  - (5) **81 Roxeth Hill – Enforcement Issue**  
 It was agreed that enforcement officers would investigate building works at the above property and report back to a future meeting.
  - (6) **Former Middlesex and Herts Country Club – Enforcement Issue**  
 A Member pointed out that it had been agreed that officers would investigate the above and report back to this meeting of the Committee, but no report had yet been forthcoming.
  - (7) **Enforcement Action re Jacot, 3 Mount Park Road**  
 Councillor Mrs Kinnear pointed out that, at the last meeting of the Committee, it had been agreed that officers would contact her direct to advise of the status of the enforcement action in respect of the above property but that no contact had been made.
301. **Extensions to and Termination of the Meeting:**

In accordance with the provisions of Committee Procedure Rule 14 (Part 4B of the Constitution) it was

**RESOLVED:** At 10.00 pm to continue until 10.15 pm.

(Note: The meeting, having commenced at 7:30 pm, closed at 10:52 pm).

(Signed) COUNCILLOR KEITH BURCHELL  
Chair



**LIST NO:** 2/02                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/389/03/CFU  
**LOCATION:** The New Knoll, 3 Football Lane, Harrow  
**APPLICANT:** Kenneth W Reed & Associates for Keepers and Governors of Harrow School  
**PROPOSAL:** Three Storey and Single Storey Side Extensions to include Self Contained Tutor's Flat and Porch.  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives reported.

---

**LIST NO:** 2/03                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/353/03/CFU  
**LOCATION:** 231 Imperial Drive, North Harrow  
**APPLICANT:** White Associates for G S Pearce T/AS Capital Repair  
**PROPOSAL:** Change of Use: Class A1 to A3 (Retail to Hot Food and Drink on Ground Floor and Basement)  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives reported.

---

**LIST NO:** 2/04                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/453/03/CFU  
**LOCATION:** 6 Bede Close, Pinner  
**APPLICANT:** Oxford Building Design Partnership for Mr & Mrs Greene  
**PROPOSAL:** Single Storey Side and Rear Extensions  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives reported.

---

**LIST NO:** 2/05                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/22/03/CFU  
**LOCATION:** 4 Hallam Gardens, Pinner  
**APPLICANT:** MSK Design Associates for Mrs M L Lauder  
**PROPOSAL:** Single Storey Rear Extension  
**DECISION:** DEFERRED at officers' request to seek accurate detailed drawings.

---

**LIST NO:** 2/06                      **APPLICATION NO:** EAST/1564/02/FUL  
**LOCATION:** 14 Lowick Road, Harrow  
**APPLICANT:** Tecon Ltd for PP Patel & HD Kara  
**PROPOSAL:** Two Storey Side and Single Storey Front and Rear Extensions, Rear Dormer and Conversion to Provide 2 Flats and 1 Bed-Sit (Resident Permit Restricted).





**LIST NO:** 2/10                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/447/03/CFU  
**LOCATION:** 244 Streatfield Road, Harrow  
**APPLICANT:** Syte Architects for Huseyin Dursin  
**PROPOSAL:** Change of Use: Supermarket to Restaurant (Class A1 to A3) with Extractor Flue at Rear.  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives reported, and the following additional condition, reported on the addendum:  
9. Standard Condition – Disabled Access - Use

**LIST NO:** 2/11                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/367/03/CDP  
**LOCATION:** Former Edgware Lawn Tennis Club, Whitchurch Gardens, Edgware  
**APPLICANT:** Banner Homes Ltd  
**PROPOSAL:** Details of Landscaping Pursuant to Condition 1. of Planning Permission EAST/711/99/OUT for Demolition of 104 Whitchurch Gardens and Redevelopment of 8 Bungalows.  
**DECISION:** APPROVED details of landscaping pursuant to Condition 1 of planning permission EAST/711/99/OUT, subject to the conditions and informative reported.

**LIST NO:** 2/12                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/184/03/CFU  
**LOCATION:** Roxbourne Park Pavilion, Cannon Lane, Pinner  
**APPLICANT:** Toddle in Group for Bernadette & Kim Cahalan  
**PROPOSAL:** Use of Pavilion for Pre-School Play Group  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives reported.

**LIST NO:** 2/13                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/168/03/CFU  
**LOCATION:** Hatch End Tennis Club, 20 Clonard Way, Pinner  
**APPLICANT:** David Kann Services Ltd for Hatch End Lawn Tennis Co Ltd  
**PROPOSAL:** Provision of 9 x Six Metre High Floodlighting Columns around Courts 2 and 3.  
**DECISION:** DEFERRED for a Member Site Visit to the above site and to 5 Ashcroft.

(Note: Prior to discussing the above application, the Committee received a representation from an objector and a representative of the applicant.

The objector, who spoke on behalf of local residents, expressed concern that the proposed floodlights would be unsightly, visually intrusive and would give rise to increased noise and activity and light spillage to neighbouring properties, and would therefore have a detrimental impact on the residential amenity of neighbouring residents. The objector pointed out that a previous, similar application for the site had been refused and that this refusal had been upheld at appeal. He urged to Committee to visit the site to assess the impact on neighbouring residents for themselves and to turn down the application.

In response, the representative of the applicant advised that the tennis club prided itself on being responsible and responsive towards their neighbours and they had sought to ensure that light spillage from the proposed floodlights would be kept to a minimum. He added that the borough, and indeed neighbouring boroughs, had a number of tennis clubs in similar types of location with similar facilities.

(See also Minutes 282 and 300(1)).

---

**LIST NO:** 2/14                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/455/03/CFU  
**LOCATION:** 60 Canons Drive, Edgware  
**APPLICANT:** E Baron  
**PROPOSAL:** Re-Surfacing of Forecourt  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives reported.

---

**LIST NO:** 2/15                      **APPLICATION NO:** EAST/1422/02/FUL  
**LOCATION:** 28 Headstone Drive, Harrow  
**APPLICANT:** Threshold Land & Estates Ltd  
**PROPOSAL:** Change of Use: Class A1 to A3 (Retail to Restaurant) on Ground Floor  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives reported.

---

**LIST NO:** 2/16                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/220/03/CFU  
**LOCATION:** Heriots, The Common, Stanmore  
**APPLICANT:** Kenneth W Reed & Associates for Sir Bernard Schreier  
**PROPOSAL:** Demolition of 2 Bungalows and Provision of 2 Replacement Part Single, Part Two Storey Dwellings with Detached Garages.  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives reported, and the following additional condition and informative, reported on the addendum:

Condition

10. Standard Condition – Disabled Access – Buildings

Informative

3. Standard Informative 27 – Access for All

---

**LIST NO:** 2/17                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/285/03/CFU  
**LOCATION:** Granville Parade, 34 & 36 College Road, Harrow  
**APPLICANT:** Robin Bretherick Associates for Kebab Land  
**PROPOSAL:** Change of Use of 34: Retail to Restaurant (Class A1 to A3) in Conjunction with Use of 36 Single Storey Rear Extensions, External Stairs and New Shopfronts with Rear Parking.

**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions reported and to the prior receipt of a Unilateral Undertaking under Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 to secure the provision of £2000 towards Town Centre improvements, payable on date of commencement of the development.

**LIST NO:** 2/18                      **APPLICATION NO:** WEST/630/02/FUL  
**LOCATION:** 2 Mount Park Road, Harrow, Linklater House  
**APPLICANT:** Pierre Fowell Associates for Prof & Mrs E Ross  
**PROPOSAL:** Single Storey Side Extension  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives reported.

**LIST NO:** 2/19                      **APPLICATION NO:** WEST/815/02/FUL  
**LOCATION:** Highlands, 9 Park View Road, Pinner  
**APPLICANT:** Simpson McHugh for Mr & Mrs Das  
**PROPOSAL:** Single and Two Storey Front and Side Extensions  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives reported.

**LIST NO:** 2/20                      **APPLICATION NO:** WEST/1059/02/FUL  
**LOCATION:** Telephone Exchange, 125 Village Way, Pinner  
**APPLICANT:** CPS Global Ltd for Crown Castle International  
**PROPOSAL:** Rooftop Installation of 3 X T-Mobile Vertical Cross Polar, 3 x T-Mobile Vertical Duct Polar Antennae and 1 Transmission Dish on Tripods, with Ancillary Equipment Including Equipment Cabinet.  
**DECISION:** GRANTED permission in accordance with the development described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives reported.  
  
 (Note: Councillors Marilyn Ashton and Mrs Bath wished to be recorded as having voted against the decision outlined above).

**LIST NO:** 2/21                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/50/03/DFU  
**LOCATION:** 2 Ingleby Drive, Harrow  
**APPLICANT:** Neil Hawes & Associates Ltd for Mr & Dr Raahakrishman  
**PROPOSAL:** Retention of First Floor Side and Single Storey Rear Extensions; Front Porch and New Window to First Floor Front Elevation (Revised).  
**DECISION:** DEFERRED for a Member Site Visit and to await the expiry of the notification period.  
 (See also Minute 300(1)).

**LIST NO:** 2/22                      **APPLICATION NO:** WEST/694/02/LBC

**LOCATION:** Rear of Kings Head Hotel, 86 High Street, Harrow on the Hill

**APPLICANT:** RPS Planning, Transport and Environment for Fairbrair/Macleod

**PROPOSAL:** Listed Building Consent: Demolition of Single Storey Workshop to Side and Rear of Former Hotel.

**DECISION:** GRANTED Listed Building Content in accordance with the works described in the application and submitted plans, subject to the conditions and informatives reported, and the following amended condition:

Amend Condition 3 to read:

“Before any work is undertaken in pursuance of this consent to demolish the building, a method statement indicating the proposed method of demolition, and of ensuring the safety and stability of the building fabric to be retained, to include appropriate fencing of the site, shall be submitted to and approved by the Council as a Local Planning Authority. The relevant works shall be carried out in accordance with the approved method statement.

REASON: To safeguard the special architectural or historic interest of the adjacent listed building”.

(Note: Councillors Bluston, Burchell and Choudhury wished to be recorded as having voted in favour of the decision outlined above).

**SECTION3 – OTHER APPLICATIONS RECOMMENDED FOR REFUSAL**

**LIST NO:** 3/01                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/210/03/DFU

**LOCATION:** 181 Streatfield Road, Harrow

**APPLICANT:** The Business Services for Chijioke Ojji & Peter Aimiuwu

**PROPOSAL:** Change of Use: Retail and Residential to Dental Surgery (Class A1 and C3 to D1)

**DECISION:** REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans for the reason reported and subject to the informative reported.

**LIST NO:** 3/02                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/116/03/DFU

**LOCATION:** 49 Tenby Avenue, Harrow

**APPLICANT:** David Barnard for T Grout

**PROPOSAL:** Alterations to Roof to Provide End Gables to Both Sides and Rear Dormer.

**DECISION:** WITHDRAWN by the applicant.

**LIST NO:** 3/03                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/129/03/CFU

**LOCATION:** Laurelhurst, Pinner Hill

**APPLICANT:** Mr M Baldwin for Mr & Mrs S Pithers

**PROPOSAL:** Underground Structure to form Cinema, Gym and Cellar, Extension to Conservatory, Single Storey Rear Extension and Additional Floor over Garage.

**DECISION:** REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans for the reasons reported and subject to the informative reported.

---

**LIST NO:** 3/04                      **APPLICATION NO:** WEST/1227/02/FUL  
**LOCATION:** 294 Uxbridge Road, Hatch End  
**APPLICANT:** Michael Burroughs Associates for A Surace  
**PROPOSAL:** Change of Use: Retail (A1) to Restaurant/Gelateria (A3) on Part of Ground Floor.  
**DECISION:** Had the applicant not appealed against non-determination of the application, permission for the application would have been REFUSED for the reason reported and subject to the informative reported.

---

**LIST NO:** 3/05                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/636/03/CFU  
**LOCATION:** 12 Station Parade, Whitchurch Lane, Edgware  
**APPLICANT:** White Associates for Moranos Ltd  
**PROPOSAL:** Change of Use: Retail (Class A1) to Bistro/Wine Bar (Class A3) on Ground Floor.  
**DECISION:** REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans for the reasons reported and subject to the informative reported.

---

**SECTION 4 – CONSULTATIONS FROM NEIGHBOURING AUTHORITIES**

**LIST NO:** 4/01                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/201/03/CCR  
**LOCATION:** Job Centre, 12-14 Station Road, Harrow  
**APPLICANT:** Drivers Jonas  
**PROPOSAL:** Circular 18/83 Consultation: First and Second Floor Side Extension  
**DECISION:** RAISED NO OBJECTIONS to the development set out in the application, subject to the condition and informative reported.

---

**LIST NO:** 4/02                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/557/03/CCN  
**LOCATION:** 1 Queensbury Station Parade, Edgware  
**APPLICANT:** London Borough of Brent  
**PROPOSAL:** Consultation: Change of Use: Retail (Class A1) to Radio-Controlled Mini Cab Office (Sui Generis) .  
**DECISION:** RAISED NO OBJECTIONS to the development set out in the application, subject to the condition and informative reported.

---

**LIST NO:** 4/03                      **APPLICATION NO:** P/693/03/CDT  
**LOCATION:** Land Fronting Applegarth, Cuckoo Hill, Eastcote  
**APPLICANT:** London Borough of Hillingdon  
**PROPOSAL:** Consultation: Installation of 10M High Imitation Telegraph Pole with Equipment Cabinet.  
**DECISION:** RAISED OBJECTIONS to the development set out in the application for the reason reported and subject to the informative reported, and the following additional informative, reported on the addendum:

---

## 2. Standard Informative 34 – Consultation as a neighbouring LPA

---

**SECTION 5 – PRIOR APPROVAL APPLICATIONS**

<b>LIST NO:</b>	5/01	<b>APPLICATION NO:</b>	P/686/03/CDT
<b>LOCATION:</b>	O/S 26 Scott Crescent, Rayners Lane		
<b>APPLICANT:</b>	British Telecommunications PLC		
<b>PROPOSAL:</b>	Determination: Relocation of Payphone		
<b>DECISION:</b>	<p>That (1) prior approval of details of siting and appearance be required; and (2) approval of details of siting and appearance be refused for the following reason: The proposal to relocate the payphone would be detrimental to residential amenity.</p> <p>(Notes: (1) Prior to considering the above application, the Committee received a representation from an objector who lived in a property fronting the location proposed for the payphone. The objector argued that there were other, more appropriate locations for a payphone than directly outside a privately owned house, and expressed concern that, if sited in the proposed location, it would give rise to noise, disturbance and increased activity which would have a negative impact on their residential amenity.</p> <p>(2) The Chief Planning Officer had recommended that prior approval of details of siting and appearance be not required).</p>		

---